

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/051,730	JULIEN, WILLIAM E.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	C. SAYALA	1761	

All Participants:

(1) C. SAYALA.

(2) Mr Denk.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 October 2003
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Claim 14

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Based on applicant's arguments and amendment to the claims, to distinguish the claimed invention over art applied, the limitation inserted as shown in the examiner's amendment was authorized, as disclosed on page 4 of the specification at lines 10-15 to further distinguish the claims. .